arXiv:1509.08217v2 [math.GT] 9 May 2016

Regular genus and gem-complexity of some mapping tori

Biplab Basak

Theoretical Statistics and Mathematics Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, Bangalore 560 059, India.
E-mail address: biplab_vs@isibang.ac.in

May 09, 2016

Abstract

In this article, we construct crystallization of mapping torus of some (PL) homeomorphisms $f : M \to M$ for a certain class of PL manifolds M. These yield upper bounds for gem-complexity and regular genus of a large class of PL manifolds. The bound for regular genus is sharp for mapping torus of some homeomorphisms $f : M \to M$, where M is $\mathbb{RP}^2$, $\mathbb{RP}^2\#\mathbb{RP}^2$, $S^1 \times S^1$, $\mathbb{RP}^3$, $S^2 \times S^1$, $S^2 \tilde{\times} S^1$ or S^n. In particular, for $M = S^{d-1} \times S^1$ or $S^{d-1} \tilde{\times} S^1$, our construction gives a crystallization of a mapping torus of a homeomorphism $f : M \to M$ with regular genus $d^2 - d$. Then we prove, there exists an orientable mapping torus of $f : (S^2 \times S^1) \to (S^2 \times S^1)$ with regular genus 6. This disproves a conjecture of Spaggiari which states that regular genus six characterizes the topological product $\mathbb{RP}^3 \times S^1$ among closed connected prime orientable PL 4-manifolds.

MSC 2010 : Primary 57Q15. Secondary 05C15; 57N10; 57N13; 57N15; 57Q05; 55R10.
Keywords: PL-manifolds; Mapping torus; Crystallizations; Regular genus; Gem-complexity.

1 Introduction and Results

First, let us recall that, a *fiber bundle* is a topological space which is locally a product space. Let M be a closed connected manifold. For a homeomorphism $f : M \to M$, let $M_f = \frac{(X \times I)}{(x,0) \sim (f(x),1)}$, where I is the closed interval $[0, 1]$. Then M_f is an M-fiber bundle over S^1. The bundle M_f is called *the mapping torus of* $f : M \to M$.

A crystallization of a PL-manifold is a contracted colored graph which represents the manifold (for details and related notations see Subsection 2.1). The existence of crystallizations for every closed connected PL-manifold is ensured by a classical theorem due to Pezzana (see [21], or [16] for subsequent generalizations). Given a PL d-manifold M, its *gem-complexity* is the non-negative integer $k(M) := p - 1$, where $2p$ is the minimum order of a crystallization of M.

Let (Γ, γ) be a $(d + 1)$-regular colored graph (i.e., through each vertex of the graph, there are $d + 1$ edges with different colors from the color set $\Delta_d := \{0, \ldots, d\}$). Then, an embedding $i : \Gamma \hookrightarrow F$ of Γ into a closed surface F is called *regular* if there exists a cyclic permutation $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_d)$ of the color set Δ_d, such that the boundary of each face of $i(\Gamma)$ is a bi-colored cycle with edges alternately colored by $\varepsilon_j, \varepsilon_{j+1}$ for some j (the addition is modulo $d + 1$). Then, the *regular genus* $\rho(\Gamma)$ of (Γ, γ) is the least genus (resp., half of genus) of an orientable (resp., non-orientable) surface into which Γ embeds regularly, and the *regular genus* $\mathcal{G}(M)$ of a closed connected PL d-manifold M is defined as the minimum regular genus of its crystallizations. Note that the notion of regular genus extends classical

notions to arbitrary dimension. In fact, the regular genus of a closed connected orientable (resp., non-orientable) surface coincides with its genus (resp., half of its genus), while the regular genus of a closed connected 3-manifold coincides with its Heegaard genus (see [18]).

The invariant regular genus (resp., gem-complexity) has been intensively studied, yielding some important general results. For example, regular genus (resp., gem-complexity) zero characterizes the d-sphere among all closed connected PL d-manifolds (cf. [15]). In [4], the authors give a lower bound for gem-complexity of all 3-manifolds. A lower bound for regular genus (resp., gem-complexity) is also obtained for all PL 4-manifolds in [3]. In fact, many authors give some bounds for regular genus (resp., gem-complexity) of some PL d-manifolds (cf. [12, 13, 14, 19, 22]). In this article, our constructions give upper bounds for regular genus and gem-complexity of some mapping tori. More precisely, we prove the following.

Theorem 1. *If $M = S^{d-1} \times S^1$ (resp., $S^{d-1} \tilde{\times} S^1$) then there exists an orientable (resp., a non-orientable) mapping torus M_f of a homeomorphism $f : M \to M$ with the following properties.*

$$\mathcal{G}(M_f) \leq d^2 - 3 \text{ and } k(M_f) \leq d^2 + 3d + 1.$$

Moreover, if $d = 3$, then the regular genus $\mathcal{G}(M_f)$ of M_f is 6.

Remark 2. Topological classification of closed connected PL 4-manifolds according to regular genus (resp., gem-complexity) are very interesting problem in mathematics (cf. [8, 10, 11]). But the topological classification of closed connected orientable PL 4-manifolds according to regular genus is open when regular genus is greater than 5. In [22], Spaggiari conjectured that regular genus six characterizes the topological product $\mathbb{RP}^3 \times S^1$ among closed connected prime orientable PL 4-manifolds. In Theorem 1, we have provided a crystallization of an orientable mapping torus of a homeomorphism $f : S^2 \times S^1 \to S^2 \times S^1$ with regular genus 6. This disproves the conjecture.

Theorem 3. *For $q \geq 2$, there exists an orientable mapping torus $L(q,1)_f$ of a homeomorphism $f : L(q,1) \to L(q,1)$ such that $\mathcal{G}(L(q,1)_f) \leq 5q - 4$ and $k(L(q,1)_f) \leq 10q - 1$.*

Moreover, there exists an orientable (resp., a non-orientable) mapping torus $L(q,1)_f$ (resp., $L(2,1)_{\tilde{f}}$) of a homeomorphism $f : L(2,1) \to L(2,1)$ (resp., $\tilde{f} : L(2,1) \to L(2,1)$) with regular genus 6.

Theorem 4. *If M is $T^3 := S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1$ or $\mathbb{RP}^d$ for some $d \geq 3$ odd, then there exists an orientable (resp., a non-orientable) mapping torus M_f (resp., $M_{\tilde{f}}$) of a homeomorphism $f : M \to M$ (resp., $\tilde{f} : M \to M$) with the following properties.*

(i) $\mathcal{G}(T^3_f), \mathcal{G}(T^3_{\tilde{f}}) \leq 16$ *and* $k(T^3_f), k(T^3_{\tilde{f}}) \leq 59$.

(ii) $\mathcal{G}((\mathbb{RP}^d)_f), \mathcal{G}((\mathbb{RP}^d)_{\tilde{f}}) \leq 1 + 2^{d-3}(d^2 - 4)$ *and* $k((\mathbb{RP}^d)_f), k((\mathbb{RP}^d)_{\tilde{f}}) \leq (d+2)2^{d-1} - 1$.

Theorem 5. *If M is $U_h \times S^1 := \#_h(\mathbb{RP}^2) \times S^1$ or $\mathbb{RP}^d$ for $1 \leq h \leq 2$ and $d \geq 4$ even, then there exists a non-orientable mapping torus $M_{\tilde{f}}$ of a homeomorphism $\tilde{f} : M \to M$ with the following properties.*

(i) $\mathcal{G}((U_h \times S^1)_{\tilde{f}}) \leq 5h + 6$ *and* $k((U_h \times S^1)_{\tilde{f}}) \leq 20h + 19$.

(ii) $\mathcal{G}((\mathbb{RP}^d)_{\tilde{f}}) \leq 1 + 2^{d-3}(d^2 - 4)$ *and* $k((\mathbb{RP}^d)_{\tilde{f}}) \leq (d+2)2^{d-1} - 1$.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Crystallization

Crystallization theory is a representation method for the whole class of piecewise linear (PL) manifolds, without restrictions about dimension, connectedness, orientability or boundary properties. A graph is called $(d+1)$-*regular* if the number of edges adjacent to each vertex is $(d+1)$. For $n \geq 2$, by kC_n we mean a graph consists of k disjoint n-cycles. The disjoint union of the graphs G and H is denoted by $G \sqcup H$. We refer to [6] for standard terminology on graphs, and to [5] for CW-complexes and related notions.

A $(d+1)$-*colored graph* is a pair (Γ, γ), where $\Gamma = (V(\Gamma), E(\Gamma))$ is a multigraph (i.e. multiple edges are allowed, while loops are forbidden) of degree at most $d+1$ and the surjective map $\gamma : E(\Gamma) \to \Delta_d = \{0, 1, \ldots, d\}$ is a proper edge-coloring (i.e. $\gamma(e) \neq \gamma(f)$ for any pair e, f of adjacent edges). The elements of the set Δ_d are called the *colors* of Γ. Let (Γ, γ) be a colored graph with color set Δ_d. Then $I := (I_V, I_c) : \Gamma \to \Gamma$ is called an isomorphism if $I_V : V(\Gamma) \to V(\Gamma)$ and $I_c : \Delta_d \to \Delta_d$ are bijective maps such that uv is an edge of color $i \in \Delta_d$ if and only if $I_V(u)I_V(v)$ is an edge of color $I_c(i) \in \Delta_d$.

For each $B \subseteq \Delta_d$ with h elements, then the graph $\Gamma_B = (V(\Gamma), \gamma^{-1}(B))$ is a h-colored graph with edge-coloring $\gamma|_{\gamma^{-1}(B)}$. If $\Gamma_{\Delta_d \setminus \{c\}}$ is connected for all $c \in \Delta_d$, then (Γ, γ) is called *contracted*. For a color set $\{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k\} \subset \Delta_d$, $\Gamma_{\{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k\}}$ denotes the subgraph restricted of the color set $\{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k\}$ and $g_{\{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k\}}$ denotes the number of connected components of the graph $\Gamma_{\{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k\}}$.

Each $(d+1)$-colored graph uniquely determines a d-dimensional simplicial cell-complex $\mathcal{K}(\Gamma)$, which is said to be *associated to* Γ:

- for every vertex $v \in V(\Gamma)$, take a d-simplex $\sigma(v)$ and label injectively its $d+1$ vertices by the colors of Δ_d;
- for every edge of color i between $v, w \in V(\Gamma)$, identify the $(d-1)$-faces of $\sigma(v)$ and $\sigma(w)$ opposite to i-labelled vertices, so that equally labelled vertices coincide.

If the geometrical carrier $|\mathcal{K}(\Gamma)|$ is PL-homeomorphic to a PL d-manifold M, then the $(d+1)$-colored graph (Γ, γ) is said to *represent* M; if, in addition, (Γ, γ) is contracted, then it is called a *crystallization* of M. If (Γ, γ) is a crystallization of a connected PL d-manifold M, then the number of vertices in $\mathcal{K}(\Gamma)$ is $d+1$. If (Γ, γ) is a crystallization of a closed connected PL d-manifold then (Γ, γ) is a $(d+1)$-regular colored graph, i.e., a $(d+1)$-colored graph which is also $(d+1)$-regular. From [1, 16], we know the following.

Proposition 6. *For $d \geq 3$, let (Γ, γ) represent a PL d-manifold M. Then M is orientable if and only if Γ is bipartite.*

If a colored graph (Γ, γ) with color set Δ_d represents a d-manifold M with boundary then some vertices of (Γ, γ) have degree $d+1$ (in this case, those vertices are called internal vertices) and some vertices of (Γ, γ) have degree d (in this case, those vertices are called boundary vertices). The boundary graph $(\partial\Gamma, \partial\gamma)$ is defined as follows:

- $V(\partial\Gamma)$ is in bijection with the set of boundary vertices of Γ.
- $u, v \in V(\partial\Gamma)$ are joined in $\partial\Gamma$ by edge of color i if and only if u and v are joined in Γ by a path with edges alternately colored by i and the missing colors.

Note that, $(\partial\Gamma, \partial\gamma)$ may not be connected and each component (may not be contracted) of $(\partial\Gamma, \partial\gamma)$ represents a (different) component of ∂M. In each component of $(\partial\Gamma, \partial\gamma)$, the missing color is same. But two different components may have two different missing colors.

2.2 Fundamental group

Let (Γ, γ) be a crystallization (with the color set Δ_d) of a connected closed d-manifold M. So, Γ is an $(d+1)$-regular graph. Choose two colors, say, i and j from Δ_d. Let $\{G_1, \ldots, G_{s+1}\}$ be the set of all connected components of $\Gamma_{\Delta_d \setminus \{i,j\}}$ and $\{H_1, \ldots, H_{t+1}\}$ be the set of all connected components of Γ_{ij}. Since Γ is regular, each H_p is an even cycle. Note that, if $d = 2$, then Γ_{ij} is connected and hence $H_1 = \Gamma_{ij}$. Take a set $\widetilde{S} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_s, x_{s+1}\}$ of $s+1$ elements. Choose a vertex v_1 in H_k. Let $H_k = v_1 e_1^i v_2 e_2^j v_3 e_3^i v_4 \cdots e_{2l-1}^i v_{2l} e_{2l}^j v_1$, where e_p^i and e_q^j are edges with colors i and j respectively. Define $\tilde{r}_k := x_{k_2}^{+1} x_{k_3}^{-1} x_{k_4}^{+1} \cdots x_{k_{2l}}^{+1} x_{k_1}^{-1}$, where G_{k_h} is the component of $\Gamma_{C \setminus \{i,j\}}$ containing v_h. For $1 \leq k \leq t+1$, let r_k be the word obtained from $\tilde{r}_k$ by deleting $x_{s+1}^{\pm 1}$'s in $\tilde{r}_k$. In [17], Gagliardi proved the following.

Proposition 7. *For $d \geq 2$, let (Γ, γ) be a crystallization of a connected closed d-manifold M. For two colors i, j, let s, t, x_p, r_q be as above. If $\pi_1(M)$ is the fundamental group of M, then*

$$\pi_1(M) \cong \begin{cases} \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_s \mid r_1 \rangle & \text{if } \ d = 2, \\ \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_s \mid r_1, \ldots, r_t \rangle & \text{if } \ d \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

2.3 Regular Genus of PL-manifolds

As already briefly recalled in Section 1, the notion of *regular genus* is strictly related to the existence of *regular embeddings* of crystallizations into closed surfaces, i.e., embeddings whose regions are bounded by the images of bi-colored cycles, with colors consecutive in a fixed permutation of the color set. More precisely, according to [18], if (Γ, γ) is a crystallization of an orientable (resp., non-orientable) PL d-manifold M $(d \geq 3)$, then for each cyclic permutation $\varepsilon := (\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \ldots, \varepsilon_d)$ of Δ_d, a regular embedding $i_\varepsilon : \Gamma \hookrightarrow F_\varepsilon$ exists, where F_ε is the closed orientable (resp., non-orientable) surface with Euler characteristic

$$\chi_\varepsilon(\Gamma) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{d+1}} g_{\{\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_{i+1}\}} + (1-d)\ \frac{\#V(\Gamma)}{2}. \tag{1}$$

In the orientable (resp., non-orientable) case, the integer

$$\rho_\varepsilon(\Gamma) = 1 - \chi_\varepsilon(\Gamma)/2$$

is equal to the genus (resp,. half of the genus) of the surface F_ε. Then, the regular genus $\rho(\Gamma)$ of (Γ, γ) and the regular genus $\mathcal{G}(M)$ of M are:

$$\rho(\Gamma) = \min\{\rho_\varepsilon(\Gamma) \mid \varepsilon \ \text{ is a cyclic permutation of } \ \Delta_d\};$$

$$\mathcal{G}(M) = \min\{\rho(\Gamma) \mid (\Gamma, \gamma) \text{ is a crystallization of } M\}.$$

From [3], we know the following.

Proposition 8. *Let M be a (closed connected) PL 4-manifold with $rk(\pi_1(M)) = m$. Then,*

$$k(M) \ \geq \ 3\chi(M) + 10m - 6 \ \textit{and} \ \mathcal{G}(M) \ \geq \ 2\chi(M) + 5m - 4.$$

For a closed connected 3-manifold N, if M is a mapping torus of a homeomorphism $f : M \to M$, i.e., M is an N-bundle over S^1 then $\chi(M) = 0$. Therefore, $k(M) \geq 10m - 6$ and $\mathcal{G}(M) \geq 5m - 4$, where $m = rk(\pi_1(M))$ = rank of the fundamental group of M.

3 Proofs of the Results

Lemma 9. *For $d \geq 1$, let (Γ, γ) be a $2p$-vertex crystallization (with color set Δ_d) of a closed connected d-manifold M. If there exists an isomorphism $I(\Gamma) := (I_V, I_c) : \Gamma \to \Gamma$ with the property $I_c(i) = i + 1$ for $i \in \Delta_d$ (addition is modulo d) then there exists a crystallization $(\bar{\Gamma}, \bar{\gamma})$ of a mapping torus M_f of a (PL) homeomorphism $f : M \to M$ with $2(d+2)p$ vertices.*

Proof. Let $I(\Gamma) := (I_V, I_c) : \Gamma \to \Gamma$ be an isomorphism with the property $I_c(i) = i + 1$ for $i \in \Delta_d$ (addition is modulo d). Let the vertices of the crystallization (Γ, γ) be $x^{(0)}, x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(2p-1)}$. Now, we construct a crystallization $(\bar{\Gamma}, \bar{\gamma})$ of a mapping torus M_f of a (PL) homeomorphism $f : M \to M$ with $2(d+2)p$ vertices by the following steps.
(i) For $0 \leq k \leq d+1$, consider a new graph Γ^k obtained from $\Gamma_{\{0,1,\ldots,d-1\}}$ by replacing vertices $x^{(j)} \to x_k^{(j)}$ for $0 \leq j \leq 2p-1$ and colors $i \to i+k$ (addition is modulo $d+1$)
(ii) Now, join $I_V(x_0^{(j)})$ and $x_1^{(j)}$ vertices by an edge of color $d+1$, for all j.



Figure 1: Construction of $\Gamma^{0,1}$ from a crystallization of M (here $M = S^2 \times S^1$).

Let $\Gamma^{0,1}$ be the resulting graph with vertex set $\{x_0^{(0)}, x_0^{(1)}, \ldots, x_0^{(2p-1)}, x_1^{(0)}, x_1^{(1)}, \ldots, x_1^{(2p-1)}\}$. Then, $(\partial\Gamma^{0,1}, \partial\gamma^{0,1})$ has two different components with two different missing colors but each component is a crystallization of M. Let K_i be the component of $(\partial\Gamma^{0,1}, \partial\gamma^{0,1})$ with vertex set $\{x_i^{(0)}, x_i^{(1)}, \ldots, x_i^{(2p-1)}\}$ for $0 \leq i \leq 1$. Now, step (ii) implies, the d-faces $\sigma(I_V(x_0^{(j)}))[d, 0, 1, \ldots, d-1]$ of $\sigma(I_V(x_0^{(j)}))$ with color set $\{d, 0, 1, \ldots, d-1\}$ are identified to the d-faces $\sigma(x_1^{(j)})[d, 0, 1, \ldots, d-1]$ of $\sigma(x_1^{(j)})$ with color set $\{d, 0, 1, \ldots, d-1\}$.

Now, the d-faces $\sigma(x_0^{(j)})[d+1, 0, 1, \ldots, d-1]$ of $\sigma(x_0^{(j)})$ with color set $\{d+1, 0, 1, \ldots, d-1\}$, can continuously move to the d-faces $\sigma(x_0^{(j)})[d, 0, 1, \ldots, d-1]$ of $\sigma(x_0^{(j)})$ through the $(d+1)$-simplices $\sigma(x_0^{(j)})$ by moving vertex $d+1$ to d and keeping the other vertices fixed.

Then, the d-faces $\sigma(I_V(x_0^{(j)}))[d, 0, 1, \ldots, d-1]$ of $\sigma(I_V(x_0^{(j)}))$ are identified to the d-faces $\sigma(x_1^{(j)})[d, 0, 1, \ldots, d-1]$ of $\sigma(x_1^{(j)})$. Again, the d-faces $\sigma(x_1^{(j)})[d, 0, 1, \ldots, d-1]$ of $\sigma(x_1^{(j)})$, can continuously move to the d-faces $\sigma(x_1^{(j)})$ $[d+1, 1, 2, \ldots, d]$ of $\sigma(x_1^{(j)})$ through the $(d+1)$-simplices $\sigma(x_1^{(j)})$ by moving vertex 0 to $d+1$ and keeping the other vertices fixed.

Thus, there is a continuous path (say, T_1) from $\mathcal{K}(K_0)$ to $\mathcal{K}(K_1)$ such that, in each level T_1 gives a crystallization of M, $T_1(\sigma(x_0^{(j)})[d+1, 0, 1, \ldots, d-1]) = \sigma(I_V^{-1}(x_1^{(j)}))[1, 2, \ldots, d, d+1]$ and $(d+1, 0, 1, \ldots, d-1) \to (d, 0, 1, \ldots, d-1) \to (d, d+1, 1, \ldots, d-1)$.



Same shaded circles lie in interiors of two triangles means the two triangles are identified.

Figure 2: Some 4-colored graphs and the corresponding simplicial cell complexes.



Figure 3: Realization of the homeomorphism from $S^2\times\{0\}$ to $S^2\times\{1\}$ in $S^2\times I\cong|\mathcal{K}(\Gamma^{0,3})|$, where Γ is the standard 2-vertex crystallization of S^2.

(iv) For $2\leq k\leq d$, consider the graph Γ^k and join $I_V(x_{k-1}^{(j)})$ and $x_k^{(j)}$ vertices by an edge of color $k-2$.

Let $\Gamma^{0,k}$ be the resulting graph. Then, $(\partial\Gamma^{0,k},\partial\gamma^{0,k})$ has two different components with two different missing colors but each component is a crystallization of M. Here, K_0 is a component of $(\partial\Gamma^{0,k},\partial\gamma^{0,k})$ and let K_k be the other component of $(\partial\Gamma^{0,k},\partial\gamma^{0,k})$ with vertex set $\{x_k^{(0)},x_k^{(1)},\ldots,x_k^{(2p-1)}\}$. Now, step (iv) implies, identify the d-faces $\sigma(I_V(x_{k-1}^{(j)}))[\Delta_{d+1}\setminus\{k-2\}]$ of $\sigma(I_V(x_{k-1}^{(j)}))$ with the d-faces $\sigma(x_k^{(j)})[\Delta_{d+1}\setminus\{k-2\}]$ of $\sigma(x_k^{(j)})$.

Then, the d-faces $\sigma(x_k^{(j)})[\Delta_{d+1}\setminus\{k-2\}]$ of $\sigma(x_k^{(j)})$, can continuously move to the d-faces $\sigma(x_k^{(j)})[\Delta_{d+1}\setminus\{k-1\}]$ of $\sigma(x_k^{(j)})$ through the $(d+1)$-simplices $\sigma(x_k^{(j)})$ by moving vertex $k-1$ to $k-2$ and keeping the other vertices fixed. Thus, there is a continuous path (say, T_k) from $\mathcal{K}(K_0)$ to $\mathcal{K}(K_k)$ such that in each level T_k gives a crystallization of M, $T_k(\sigma(x_0^{(j)})[d+1,0,1,\ldots,d-1])=\sigma(I_V^{-k}(x_k^{(j)}))[\Delta_{d+1}\setminus\{k-1\}]$ and $(d+1,0,1,\ldots,k-2,k-1,k,\ldots,d-1)\to\cdots\to(d,d+1,0,\ldots,k-3,k-1,k,\ldots,d-1)\to(d,d+1,0,\ldots,k-3,k-2,k,\ldots,d-1)$.

(v) Now, consider the graph Γ^{d+1} and join $I_V(x_d^{(j)})$ and $x_{d+1}^{(j)}$ vertices by an edge of color $d-1$.

Let $\Gamma^{0,d+1}$ be the resulting graph. Then, $(\partial\Gamma^{0,d+1},\partial\gamma^{0,d+1})$ has two isomorphic components and each component is a crystallization of M. Here, K_0 is a component of $(\partial\Gamma^{0,d+1},\partial\gamma^{0,d+1})$ and let K_{d+1} be the other component of $(\partial\Gamma^{0,d+1},\partial\gamma^{0,d+1})$ with vertex set $\{x_k^{(0)},x_{d+1}^{(1)},\ldots,x_{d+1}^{(2p-1)}\}$. Now, step (v) implies, identify the d-faces $\sigma(I_V(x_d^{(j)}))$

$[\Delta_{d+1} \setminus \{d-1\}]$ of $\sigma(I_V(x_d^{(j)}))$ with the d-faces $\sigma(x_{d+1}^{(j)})[\Delta_{d+1} \setminus \{d-1\}]$ of $\sigma(x_{d+1}^{(j)})$.

Thus, there is a continuous path (say, T_{d+1}) from $\mathcal{K}(K_0)$ to $\mathcal{K}(K_{d+1})$ such that, in each level T_{d+1} gives a crystallization of M, $T_{d+1}(\sigma(x_0^{(j)})[d+1,0,1,\ldots,d-1]) = \sigma(I_V^{-d-1}(x_{d+1}^{(j)}))$ $[d+1,0,1,\ldots,d-1]$ and $(d+1,0,1,\ldots,d-1) \to \cdots \to (d,d+1,0,\ldots,d-2) \to (d-1,d+1,0,\ldots,d-2)$. These show that $\Gamma^{0,d+1}$ represents $M \times I$.

Now, let $\tilde{I}(\Gamma) := (\tilde{I}_V, \tilde{I}_c) : \Gamma \to \Gamma$ be an isomorphism with the property $\tilde{I}_c(i) = i+1$ for $i \in \Delta_d$ (addition is modulo d). Then, we have $\tilde{I}(K_{d+1}) : \mathcal{K}(K_{d+1}) \to \mathcal{K}(K_{d+1})$ such that $\tilde{I}(K_{d+1})(d-1) = d+1$, $\tilde{I}(K_{d+1})(d+1) = 0$ and $\tilde{I}(K_{d+1})(i) = i+1$ for $i \in \{0,1,\ldots,d-2\}$ and $|\tilde{I}(K_{d+1})| : |\mathcal{K}(K_{d+1})| \to |\mathcal{K}(K_{d+1})|$ is a (PL) homeomorphism. Thus, $|\tilde{I}(K_{d+1})| \circ |T_{d+1}| : M \to M$ is a (PL) homeomorphism such that $\tilde{I}(K_{d+1}) \circ T_{d+1} : \mathcal{K}(K_0) \to \mathcal{K}(K_{d+1})$ sends each color to itself. Therefore, we can construct a crystallization $(\bar{\Gamma}, \bar{\gamma})$ of mapping torus of the map $f := |\tilde{I}(K_{d+1})| \circ |T_{d+1}| : M \to M$ (which is same as $|\tilde{I}(\Gamma)| \circ |T_{d+1}| : M \to M$), by joining edges of color d between $x_0^{(j)}$ and $\tilde{I}_V(x_{d+1}^{(j)})$. Here, we can choose $\tilde{I}(\Gamma) = I(\Gamma)$. Then, by the construction $\bar{\Gamma}$ has $(d+2)2p = 2(d+1)p$ vertices. □

For $d \geq 1$, let (Γ, γ) be a $2p$-vertex crystallization (with color set Δ_d) of a closed connected d-manifold M. Let the vertices of the crystallization (Γ, γ) be $x^{(0)}, x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(2p-1)}$. If M is orientable, by Proposition 6, (Γ, γ) is a bipartite graph. Let us choose, $\{x^{(2u)}, 0 \leq u \leq p-1\}$ and $\{x^{(2v-1)}, 1 \leq u \leq p\}$ be the partition of the vertex set.

Lemma 10. *For $d \geq 1$, let (Γ, γ) be a $2p$-vertex crystallization (with color set Δ_d) of a closed connected orientable d-manifold M. If, there exist two isomorphisms $I'(\Gamma) := (I'_V, I_c), I''(\Gamma) := (I''_V, I''_c) : \Gamma \to \Gamma$ such that $I'_V(x^{(2u')}) = x^{(2v')}$ and $I''_V(x^{(2u''-1)}) = x^{(2v'')}$ for some $u', v', u''v''$, then there exists a crystallization $(\bar{\Gamma}, \bar{\gamma})$ of an orientable (resp., non-orientable) mapping torus M_f (resp., $M_{\tilde{f}}$) of a (PL) homeomorphism $f : M \to M$ (resp., $\tilde{f} : M \to M$) with $2(d+2)p$ vertices.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{I}(\Gamma), I(\Gamma)$, T_{d+1} and $(\bar{\Gamma}, \bar{\gamma})$ be as in the proof of Lemma 9. If Γ is non-bipartite (i.e., M is non-orientable) then $\bar{\Gamma}$ is also non-bipartite (i.e., M_f is also non-orientable). If Γ is bipartite (i.e., M is orientable) then we have the following.

- If $\tilde{I}(\Gamma) = I(\Gamma) = I''(\Gamma)$ then $\bar{\Gamma}$ is bipartite. Thus $(\bar{\Gamma}, \bar{\gamma})$ represents an orientable mapping torus M_f of the map $f := |I''(\Gamma)| \circ |T_{d+1}| : M \to M$ with $2(d+2)p$ vertices.
- If d is odd and $\tilde{I}(\Gamma) = I(\Gamma) = I'(\Gamma)$ then we have an odd cycle in $\bar{\Gamma}$. Thus $(\bar{\Gamma}, \bar{\gamma})$ represents a non-orientable mapping torus $M_{\tilde{f}}$ of the map $\tilde{f} := |I'(\Gamma)| \circ |T_{d+1}| : M \to M$ with $2(d+2)p$ vertices.
- If d is even and $\tilde{I}(\Gamma) \neq I(\Gamma) \in \{I'(\Gamma), I''(\Gamma)\}$ then then we have an odd cycle in $\bar{\Gamma}$. Thus $(\bar{\Gamma}, \bar{\gamma})$ represents a non-orientable mapping torus $M_{\tilde{f}}$ of the map $\tilde{f} := |\tilde{I}(\Gamma)| \circ |T_{d+1}| : M \to M$ with $2(d+2)p$ vertices.

□

Remark 11. Observe that, $\bar{\Gamma}_{\{j,(j+1)\}}$ is of type $pC_6 \sqcup (d-1)\Gamma_{\{0,1\}}$ for $0 \leq j \leq d+1$ (here the addition is modulo $d+2$) and hence $\bar{g}_{\{j,(j+1)\}} = p + (d-1)g_{\{0,1\}}$. If j and k are not consecutive colors then $\bar{\Gamma}_{\{j,k\}}$ is of type $2pC_4 \sqcup \Gamma_{\{j_1,k_1\}} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \Gamma_{\{j_{d-2},k_{d-2}\}}$ for $d \geq 2$.



Figure 4: The standard $2(d+1)$-vertex crystallization of $S^{d-1} \times S^1$ (resp., $S^{d-1} \tilde{\times} S^1$) if d is odd (resp., even), and an isomorphism from the crystallization to itself.

Remark 12. Let (Γ, γ), $(\bar{\Gamma}, \bar{\gamma})$, $I(\Gamma)$ and $\tilde{I}(\Gamma)$ be as in the proof of Lemma 10. Let $V(\Gamma) = \{x^{(0)}, x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(2p-1)}\}$ and $\bar{\Gamma}$ be the crystallization of M_f as constructed in the proof of Lemma 10 with an isomorphism $I(\Gamma) = \tilde{I}(\Gamma)$. Therefore, $\{x_0^{(0)}, \ldots, x_0^{(2p-1)}, x_1^{(0)}, \ldots, x_1^{(2p-1)}, \ldots, x_{d+1}^{(0)}, \ldots, x_{d+1}^{(2p-1)}\}$ is the vertex set of $\bar{\Gamma}$. From the construction, it is clear that there exists an isomorphism $\bar{I}(\bar{\Gamma}) := (\bar{I}_V, \bar{I}_c) : \bar{\Gamma} \to \bar{\Gamma}$ with $\bar{I}_c(i) = i+1$ for $0 \leq i \leq d+1$ (addition is module $d+2$) and $\bar{I}_V(x_j^{(s)}) = x_{j+1}^{(s)}$ (addition in the subscript is module $d+2$) for all $s \in \{0, \ldots, 2p-1\}$. Thus, we can use Lemma 10 again and, can construct a crystallization $\bar{\bar{\Gamma}}$ of a mapping torus of some $g : M_f \to M_f$.

Remark 13. Observe that if $M \cong S^d$ and (Γ, γ) is the standard 2-vertex crystallization of S^d then by choosing two possible isomorphims as in Lemma 10, $\bar{\Gamma}$ gives the $2(d+2)$-vertex crystallization of $S^d \times S^1$ (resp., $S^d \tilde{\times} S^1$) as constructed in Figures 4 and 5 (which was known from [20]). In these cases, vertices of $\bar{\Gamma}$ is $2(d+2)$ and $\bar{g}_{\{j,k\}} = d$ for all j, k. Therefore, $\rho(\bar{\Gamma}) = 1$. Since $rk(\pi_1(S^d \times S^1)) = rk(\pi_1(S^d \tilde{\times} S^1)) = 1$, $\mathcal{G}(S^d \times S^1) = \mathcal{G}(S^d \tilde{\times} S^1) = 1$.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $M = S^{d-1} \times S^1$ or $S^{d-1} \tilde{\times} S^1$. Let (Γ, γ) be the standard $2(d+1)$-vertex crystallization of M and $I(\Gamma) := (I_V, I_c) : \Gamma \to \Gamma$ be the corresponding isomorphism as in Figures 4 and 5. If we choose $\tilde{I}(\Gamma) = I(\Gamma)$ as in the proof of Lemma 9, then, by Lemma 9, there is crystallization $(\bar{\Gamma}, \bar{\gamma})$ of a mapping torus M_f of a homeomorphism $f : M \to M$ with $(d+2) \cdot 2(d+1) = 2(d+1)(d+2)$. Since there is an edge between u and $I_V(u)$ for all u, we have M_f is orientable (resp., non-orientable) if $M = S^{d-1} \times S^1$ (resp., $S^{d-1} \tilde{\times} S^1$).

Now, from Remark 11, if j and k are not consecutive colors then $\bar{\Gamma}_{\{j,k\}}$ is of type $2(d+1)C_4 \sqcup \Gamma_{\{j_1,k_1\}} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \Gamma_{\{j_{d-2},k_{d-2}\}}$. Since $g_{\{j,k\}} = d-1$ for all j, k, $\bar{g}_{\{j,k\}} = 2(d+1) + (d-2)(d-1)$. Therefore, we can choose a permutation $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_0, \ldots, \varepsilon_{d+1})$ such that



Figure 5: The standard $2(d+1)$-vertex crystallization of $S^{d-1} \times S^1$ (resp., $S^{d-1} \tilde{\times} S^1$) if d is even (resp., odd), and an isomorphism from the crystallization to itself.

$\bar{g}_{\{\varepsilon_i,\varepsilon_{i+1}\}} = 2(d+1) + (d-2)(d-1)$ for $0 \leq i \leq d+1$ (here the addition in the subscript of ε is modulo $d+2$). Therefore, $\chi_\varepsilon(\bar{\Gamma}) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{d+2}} \bar{g}_{\{\varepsilon_i,\varepsilon_{i+1}\}} - d\frac{2(d+2)(d+1)}{2} = (d+2)(2(d+1) + (d-2)(d-1)) - d(d+2)(d+1) = (d+2)(2d+2+d^2-3d+2-d^2-d) = -2(d+2)(d-2)$. Thus, $\rho_\varepsilon(\bar{\Gamma}) = 1 - \chi_\varepsilon(\bar{\Gamma})/2 = 1 + (d^2-4) = d^2-3$. Therefore, $\mathcal{G}(M_f) \leq d^2-3$. Since $\bar{\Gamma}$ has $2(d+1)(d+2)$ vertices, $k(M_f) \leq d^2+3d+1$.

For $d \geq 3$, $\pi_1(M) = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\bar{g}_{\Delta_{d+1}\setminus\{i,j\}} = 3$ for all $i, j \in \Delta_{d+1}$. Now, let $G_1 := \bar{\Gamma}[x_0^{(4)}, x_0^{(5)}, x_1^{(2)}, x_1^{(3)}, x_3^{(2d)}, x_3^{(2d+1)}, \ldots, x_{d+1}^{(4)}, x_{d+1}^{(5)}]$ and $G_2 := \bar{\Gamma}[x_2^{(0)}, x_2^{(1)}, \ldots, x_2^{(2d+1)}]$. Then G_1 and G_2 be two components of $\bar{\Gamma}_{\{2,\ldots,d+1\}}$ out of the three components (cf. Figure 6 for $d=3$). If G_1 and G_2 represent generators and components of $\bar{\Gamma}_{\{0,1\}}$ represent relations of the fundamental group of $\pi_1(M_f)$ then we have $\pi_1(M_f) = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ (cf. Subsection 2.2 and Proposition 7).

If $d=3$, then $\mathcal{G}(M_f) \leq 6$. Now, from Proposition 8, we have $\mathcal{G}(M_f) \geq 5rk(\pi_1(M_f)) - 4 = 5 \cdot 2 - 4 = 6$. Therefore $\mathcal{G}(M_f) = 6$. These prove the results. □

Lemma 14. *Let M_f be the mapping torus M_f of $f : M \to M$ as constructed in Lemmas 9 and 10. Then $k(M_f) \leq (d+2)p - 1$. Moreover, if $d = 3$ then $\mathcal{G}(M_f) \leq 1 + 5(p - g_{\{0,2\}})/2$.*

Proof. Let $(\bar{\Gamma}, \bar{\gamma})$ be the crystallization of the mapping torus M_f as constructed in Lemmas 9 and 10. Then, by the construction $\bar{\Gamma}$ has $(d+2)2p = 2(d+1)p$ vertices, i.e., $k(M_f) \leq (d+2)p - 1$.



Figure 6: A 40-vertex crystallization of a mapping torus of a homeomorphism $f : S^2 \times S^1 \to S^2 \times S^1$ with regular genus 6.

If $d = 3$ then $\bar{\Gamma}_{\{2j,(2j+2)\}}$ (here the addition is modulo 5) is of the form $\Gamma_{\{0,2\}} \sqcup 2pC_4$, i.e., $\bar{g}_{\{2j,(2j+2)\}} = g_{\{0,2\}} + 2p$ for $0 \le j \le 4$. Now, for the cyclic permutation $\varepsilon = (0, 2, 4, 1, 3)$, we have $\chi_\varepsilon(\bar{\Gamma}) = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}_5} \bar{g}_{\{\varepsilon_i,\varepsilon_{i+1}\}} - 3\frac{\#V(\bar{\Gamma})}{2} = 5(g_{\{0,2\}} + 2p) - 3(5p) = 5g_{\{0,2\}} - 5p$. Thus, $\rho_\varepsilon(\bar{\Gamma}) = 1 - \chi_\varepsilon(\bar{\Gamma})/2 = 1 + 5(p - g_{\{0,2\}})/2$ and hence $\mathcal{G}(M_f) \le 1 + 5(p - g_{\{0,2\}})/2$. □



Figure 7: The standard $4q$-vertex crystallization of $L(q, 1)$, and an isomorphism from the crystallization to itself.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let (Γ, γ) be the standard $4q$-vertex crystallization of $L(q, 1)$ and $I(\Gamma) := (I_V, I_c) : \Gamma \to \Gamma$ be the corresponding isomorphism as in Figure 7. If we choose $\tilde{I}(\Gamma) = I''(\Gamma) = I(\Gamma)$ as in Lemma 10, then, by Lemma 10, there is crystallization $(\bar{\Gamma}, \bar{\gamma})$ of an orientable mapping torus $L(q, 1)_f$ of a homeomorphism $f : L(q, 1) \to L(q, 1)$. In this case $g_{\{0,2\}} = 2$ and $p = 2q$. Thus, by Lemma 14, $\mathcal{G}(L(q, 1)_f) \le 1 + 5(p - g_{\{0,2\}})/2 = 1 + 5(2q - 2)/2 = 5q - 4$. Since $\bar{\Gamma}$ has $20q$ vertices, $k(L(q, 1)_f) \le 10q - 1$.

Now, for $q = 2$, $\bar{g}_{\{2,3,4\}} = 3$. Let G_1 be the component of $\bar{\Gamma}_{\{2,3,4\}}$ with vertex set $\{x_0^{(5)}, x_0^{(0)}, x_0^{(3)}, x_0^{(5)}, x_1^{(0)}, x_1^{(3)}, x_1^{(6)}, x_1^{(7)}, x_4^{(0)}, x_4^{(1)}, x_4^{(5)}, x_4^{(6)}, x_3^{(3)}, x_3^{(6)}, x_3^{(5)}, x_3^{(2)}\}$. Let $G_2 := \bar{\Gamma}[x_2^{(0)}, \ldots, x_2^{(7)}]$. Then G_1 and G_2 are two components of $\bar{\Gamma}_{\{2,3,4\}}$ out of the three components. Now, if G_1, G_2 represent the generators and components of $\bar{\Gamma}_{\{0,1\}}$ represent the

relations of $\pi_1(L(2,1)_f)$ then, from Proposition 7, we have $\pi_1(L(2,1)_f) = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, from Proposition 8, we have $\mathcal{G}(L(2,1)_f) \geq 5rk(\pi_1(L(2,1)_f)) - 4 = 5 \cdot 2 - 4 = 6$. Thus, from the first part, $\mathcal{G}(L(2,1)_f) = 6$.

If $q = 2$ then we have another isomorphism $I'(\Gamma) := (I'_V, I'_c) : \Gamma \to \Gamma$ such that I'_V send vertices $(x^{(0)}, x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, x^{(3)}, x^{(4)}, x^{(5)}, x^{(6)}, x^{(7)}) \to (x^{(2)}, x^{(5)}, x^{(0)}, x^{(7)}, x^{(4)}, x^{(3)}, x^{(6)}, x^{(1)})$. Thus, by Lemma 10, there is crystallization $(\bar{\Gamma}, \bar{\gamma})$ of a non-orientable mapping torus $L(2,1)_{\tilde{f}}$ of a homeomorphism $\tilde{f} : L(2,1) \to L(2,1)$. In this case $g_{\{0,2\}} = 2$ and $p = 4$. Thus, by Lemma 14, $\mathcal{G}(L(2,1)_{\tilde{f}}) \leq 1 + 5(p - g_{\{0,2\}})/2 = 1 + 5(4-2)/2 = 6$. In this case, $\bar{g}_{\{2,3,4\}} = 3$. Let G_1 be the component of $\bar{\Gamma}_{\{2,3,4\}}$ with vertex set $\{x_0^{(2)}, x_0^{(7)}, x_0^{(6)}, x_0^{(1)}, x_0^{(0)}, x_1^{(3)}, x_1^{(6)}, x_1^{(7)}, x_4^{(0)}, x_4^{(1)}, x_4^{(5)}, x_4^{(6)}, x_3^{(3)}, x_3^{(6)}, x_3^{(5)}, x_3^{(2)}\}$. Let $G_2 := \bar{\Gamma}[x_2^{(0)}, \ldots, x_2^{(7)}]$. Then G_1 and G_3 are two components of $\bar{\Gamma}_{\{2,3,4\}}$ out of the three components. Now, if G_1, G_2 represent the generators and components of $\bar{\Gamma}_{\{0,1\}}$ represent the relations of $\pi_1(L(2,1)_{\tilde{f}})$ then, from Proposition 7, we have $\pi_1(L(2,1)_{\tilde{f}}) = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, from Proposition 8, we have $\mathcal{G}(L(2,1)_{\tilde{f}}) \geq 5rk(\pi_1(L(2,1)_{\tilde{f}})) - 4 = 5 \cdot 2 - 4 = 6$. Thus, we have, $\mathcal{G}(L(2,1)_{\tilde{f}}) = 6$. These prove the results. □

Example 15 (**Crystallizations of $\mathbb{RP}^d$ for $d \geq 2$**). Consider the set of the vertices $\{(x_0, \ldots, x_{d-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_i \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \ \forall\ 0 \leq i \leq d-1\}$ of the unit cube in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Now, one can construct a 2^d-vertex crystallization (Γ, γ) of $\mathbb{RP}^d$ with the color set Δ_d by the following way:
(1) Join $x = (x_0, \ldots, x_{d-1})$ and $y = (y_0, \ldots, y_{d-1})$ with an edge of color j if and only if $x_j \neq y_j$ and $x_0 = y_0, \ldots, x_{j-1} = y_{j-1}, x_{j+1} = y_{j+1}, \ldots, x_{d-1} = y_{d-1}$.
(2) Join $x = (x_0, \ldots, x_{d-1})$ and $y = (y_0, \ldots, y_{d-1})$ with an edge of color d if and only if $x_i \neq y_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq d-1$.

Proof of Theorem 4. Let (Γ, γ) be a crystallization of $S^1 \times S^1$ and $I(\Gamma) := (I_V, I_c) : \Gamma \to \Gamma$ be the corresponding isomorphism as in Figure 8 (c). If we choose $\tilde{I}(\Gamma) = I''(\Gamma) = I(\Gamma)$ as in Lemma 10, then, by Lemma 10, there is crystallization $(\bar{\Gamma}, \bar{\gamma})$ of a mapping torus $(S^1 \times S^1)_f$ of a homeomorphism $f : S^1 \times S^1 \to S^1 \times S^1$. By the construction, $\bar{\Gamma}$ has 24 vertices. Therefore $k(M_f) \leq 11$. Now, $\bar{g}_{\{0,1\}} = \bar{g}_{\{2,3\}} = 4$. Let $G_1 := \bar{\Gamma}[x_0^{(3)}, x_0^{(1)}, x_1^{(1)}, x_1^{(4)}, x_3^{(5)}, x_3^{(3)}]$, $G_2 := \bar{\Gamma}[x_0^{(0)}, x_0^{(2)}, x_1^{(5)}, x_1^{(0)}, x_3^{(2)}, x_3^{(4)}]$, $G_3 := \bar{\Gamma}[x_2^{(0)}, \ldots, x_2^{(5)}]$, $H_1 := \bar{\Gamma}[x_1^{(3)}, x_1^{(5)}, x_2^{(3)}, x_3^{(1)}, x_3^{(4)}, x_2^{(1)}]$, $H_2 := \bar{\Gamma}[x_1^{(2)}, x_1^{(4)}, x_2^{(2)}, x_3^{(0)}, x_3^{(5)}, x_2^{(0)}]$ and $H_3 := \bar{\Gamma}[x_0^{(0)}, \ldots, x_0^{(5)}]$. Then G_1, G_2, G_3 are three components of $\bar{\Gamma}_{\{2,3\}}$ out of the four components, and H_1, H_2, H_3 are three components of $\bar{\Gamma}_{\{0,1\}}$ out of the four components. Now, if G_1, G_2, G_3 represent the generators and H_1, H_2, H_3 represent the relations of $\pi_1(M_f)$ then, from Proposition 7, we have $\pi_1(M_f) = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, from [4, 9], we have $M_f = S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1 = T^3$ and $k(T^3) = 11$.

Now, by Remark 12 and, by the symmetry of the crystallization $\bar{\Gamma}$, there exist isomorphisms from $\bar{\Gamma}$ to itself which satisfy all the properties as in Lemma 10. Therefore, by Lemma 10, we have a crystallization of an orientable (resp., a non-orientable) mapping torus T^3_f (resp., $T^3_{\tilde{f}}$) of a homeomorphism $f : T^3 \to T^3$ (resp., $\tilde{f} : T^3 \to T^3$). Now, from Remark 11, we have $\bar{\Gamma}_{\{0,2\}} \cong 6C_4$, i.e., $\bar{g}_{\{0,2\}} = 6$. If $\bar{\Gamma}$ is a $2\bar{p}$-vertex crystallization then $\bar{p} = 12$. Let M be the mapping torus T^3_f or $T^3_{\tilde{f}}$. Then, by Lemma 14, we have $\mathcal{G}(M) \leq 1 + 5(\bar{p} - \bar{g}_{\{0,2\}})/2 = 1 + 5 \cdot 3 = 16$. Since the number of vertices of the crystallizations of the mapping tori is $5 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 = 120$, $k(M) \leq 59$. These prove part (i).

From the 2^d-vertex crystallization (Γ, γ) of $\mathbb{RP}^d$ as in Example 15, it is clear that there exist isomorphisms $I(\Gamma) := (I_V, I_c) : \Gamma \to \Gamma$ which satisfy all the properties as in Lemma

10. Since d is odd, $\mathbb{RP}^d$ is orientable. Therefore, by Lemma 10, we have a crystallization of an orientable (resp., a non-orientable) mapping torus $(\mathbb{RP}^d)_f$ (resp., $(\mathbb{RP}^d)_{\tilde{f}}$) of a homeomorphism $f : \mathbb{RP}^d \to \mathbb{RP}^d$ (resp., $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{RP}^d \to \mathbb{RP}^d$) with $(d+2)2^d$ vertices. Since $\Gamma_{\{i,j\}} = 2^{d-2}C_4$ for all $0 \le i \le j \le d$, from Remark 11, it is clear that, if j and k are not consecutive colors then $\bar{\Gamma}_{\{j,k\}}$ is of type $(d+2)2^{d-2}C_4$. Therefore, we can choose a permutation $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_0, \ldots, \varepsilon_{d+1})$ such that $\bar{g}_{\{\varepsilon_i,\varepsilon_{i+1}\}} = (d+2)2^{d-2}$ for $0 \le i \le d+1$ (here the addition is modulo $d+2$). Therefore, $\chi_\varepsilon(\bar{\Gamma}) = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}_{d+2}} \bar{g}_{\{\varepsilon_i,\varepsilon_{i+1}\}} - d\frac{(d+2)2^d}{2} = (d+2)^2 2^{d-2} - d(d+2)2^{d-1} = (d+2)2^{d-2}(d+2-2d) = 2^{d-2}(4-d^2)$. Thus, $\rho_\varepsilon(\bar{\Gamma}) = 1-\chi_\varepsilon(\bar{\Gamma})/2 = 1+2^{d-3}(d^2-4)$. Now, part (ii) follows from these. □



(a) A crystallization of $\mathbb{RP}^2$ (b) A crystallization of $\mathbb{RP}^2\#\mathbb{RP}^2$ (a) A crystallization of $(S^1 \times S^1)$ (a) A crystallization of $(S^1 \times S^1)\#(S^1 \times S^1)$

Figure 8: Crystallizations of T_g and U_h for $1 \le g, h \le 2$, and an isomorphism from the crystallization to itself.

Proof of Theorem 5. For $1 \le h \le 2$, let (Γ, γ) be a crystallization of U_h and $I(\Gamma) := (I_V, I_c) : \Gamma \to \Gamma$ be the corresponding isomorphism as in Figures 8 (a) and (b). If we choose $\tilde{I}(\Gamma) = I''(\Gamma) = I(\Gamma)$ as in Lemma 10, then, by Lemma 10, there is crystallization $(\bar{\Gamma}, \bar{\gamma})$ of a mapping torus $(U_h)_f$ of a homeomorphism $f : U_h \to U_h$. By the construction, $\bar{\Gamma}$ has 16 (resp., 24) vertices for $h = 1$ (resp., $h = 2$). Therefore, $k((U_1)_f) \le 7$ and $k((U_2)_f) \le 11$. Now, from Proposition 7, it is easy to prove that $\pi_1((U_h)_f) = \pi_1(U_h) \times \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, form the table III in [7] (is also available in [2]), we have $(U_1)_f = U_1 \times S^1$, $(U_2)_f = U_2 \times S^1$, $k(U_1 \times S^1) = 7$ and $k(U_2 \times S^1) = 11$.

Now, by Remark 12 and, by the symmetry of the crystallization $\bar{\Gamma}$, there exist isomorphisms from $\bar{\Gamma}$ to itself which satisfy all the properties as in Lemma 10. Therefore, by Lemma 10, we have a crystallization of a non-orientable mapping torus $(U_h \times S^1)_{\tilde{f}}$ of a homeomorphism $\tilde{f} : U_h \times S^1 \to U_h \times S^1$. Now, number of vertices of Γ is $2p$, where $p = 2$ (resp., $p = 3$) for $h = 1$ (resp., $h = 2$). Thus, the number of vertices of the crystallization of the mapping torus is $5 \cdot 4 \cdot 2p = 40p$. Now, from Remark 11, we have $\bar{\Gamma}_{\{0,2\}} \cong 2pC_4$, i.e., $\bar{g}_{\{0,2\}} = 2p$. Again we have, if $\bar{\Gamma}$ is a $2\bar{p}$-vertex crystallization then $\bar{p} = 4p$. Now, by

Lemma 14, we have $\mathcal{G}((U_h \times S^1)_{\tilde{f}}) \leq 1 + 5(\bar{p} - \bar{g}_{\{0,2\}})/2 = 1 + 5p$. Here $p = h + 1$ as $p = 2$ (resp., $p = 3$) for $h = 1$ (resp., $h = 2$). Therefore, $\mathcal{G}(U_h \times S^1)_{\tilde{f}}) \leq 1 + 5p = 5h + 6$ and $k(U_h \times S^1)_{\tilde{f}}) \leq 20p - 1 = 20h + 19$. These prove part (i).

Since d is even, $\mathbb{RP}^d$ is non-orientable. Therefore, we have only non-orientable mapping torus. Now, the proof of part (ii) follows from similar arguments as in the proof of part (ii) of Theorem 4. □

Remark 16. If (Γ, γ) is a crystallization of a 3-manifold then $g_{\{i,j\}} = g_{\{k,l\}}$ for all distinct i, j, k, l (cf. [16]). Thus, it is easy to calculate that $\rho(\Gamma) = \min\{g_{01}, g_{02}, g_{03}\} - 1$. For $M = \mathbb{RP}^2$, $\mathbb{RP}^2 \# \mathbb{RP}^2$ or $S^1 \times S^1$, let M has a $2p$-vertex crystallization. Then, from Remark 11, $\mathcal{G}(M \times S^1) \leq \min\{p + 1, 2p\} - 1 = p$. Since $rk(\pi_1(M \times S^1)) = p$, $\mathcal{G}(M \times S^1) = p$. Therefore, in these cases, our constructions give crystallizations with minimal regular genus.

Remark 17. Let (Γ, γ) be a crystallization of $\mathcal{T} := (S^1 \times S^1) \# (S^1 \times S^1)$ and $I(\Gamma) := (I_V, I_c) : \Gamma \to \Gamma$ be the corresponding isomorphism as in Figure 8 (d). If we choose $\tilde{I}(\Gamma) = I''(\Gamma) = I(\Gamma)$ as in Lemma 10, then, by Lemma 10, there is crystallization $(\bar{\Gamma}, \bar{\gamma})$ of a mapping torus $\mathcal{T}_f$ of a homeomorphism $f : \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}$. Now, by the discussions as in Remark 12, we can apply Lemma 10 again and, can construct a crystallization $\bar{\bar{\Gamma}}$ of a mapping torus of some $g : \mathcal{T}_f \to \mathcal{T}_f$. Moreover, we can apply Lemma 10 for each of the mapping torus constructed in above theorems for the cases where $I = \tilde{I}$ as in the proof of Lemma 10. Thus our constructions give crystallizations of mapping tori for a large class of PL-manifolds.

Acknowledgement: The author would like to thank Basudeb Datta for helpful discussions, suggestions and comments. The author is supported by NBHM, India for NBHM Postdoctoral Fellowship (grant number: 2/40(49)/2015/R&D-II/11568).

References

[1] P. Bandieri, M. R. Casali and C. Gagliardi, Representing manifolds by crystallization theory: foundations, improvements and related results, *Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena* **49** (2001), suppl., 283–337.

[2] P. Bandieri, P. Cristofori and C. Gagliardi, Nonorientable 3-manifolds admitting colored triangulations with at most 30 tetrahedra, *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* **18** (2009), 381–395.

[3] B. Basak and M. R. Casali, Lower bounds for regular genus and gem-complexity of PL 4-manifolds, `arXiv:1504.00771v1`, 2015, 18 pages.

[4] B. Basak and B. Datta, Minimal crystallizations of 3-manifolds, *Electron. J Combin.* **21 (1)** (2014), #P1.61, 1–25.

[5] A. Björner, Posets, regular CW complexes and Bruhat order, *European J. Combin.* **5** (1984), 7–16.

[6] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, *Graph Theory*, Springer, New York, 2008.

[7] M. R. Casali, Classification of Nonorientable 3-Manifolds Admitting Decompositions into ≤ 26 Coloured Tetrahedra, *Acta Appl. Math.* **54** (1998), 75–97.

[8] M. R. Casali and P. Cristofori, Cataloguing pl 4-manifolds by gem-complexity, *Electron. J. Combin.* **22** (2015), no. 4, #P4.25, 1–25.

[9] M. R. Casali and P. Cristofori, A catalogue of orientable 3-manifolds triangulated by 30 colored tetrahedra, *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* **17** (2008), 579–599.

[10] A. Cavicchioli, On the genus of smooth 4-manifolds, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **31** (1999), 203–214.

[11] A. Cavicchioli and M. Meschiari, On classification of 4-manifolds according to genus, *Cahiers Topologie Geom. Differentielle Categoriques* **34** (1993), 37–56.

[12] A. Cavicchioli and F. Spaggiari, On the genus of real projective spaces, *Arch. Math.* **89** (2007), 570576.

[13] P. Cristofori, On the genus of $S^m \times S^n$, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **41 (3)** (2004), 407–421.

[14] M. Ferri and C. Gagliardi, On the genus of 4-dimensional products of manifolds, *Geom. Dedicata* **13** (1982), 331–345.

[15] M. Ferri and C. Gagliardi, The only genus zero n-manifold is $\mathbb{S}^n$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **85** (1982), 638–642.

[16] M. Ferri, C. Gagliardi and L. Grasselli, A graph-theoretic representation of PL-manifolds – A survey on crystallizations, *Acquationes Math.* **31** (1986), 121–141.

[17] C. Gagliardi, How to deduce the fundamental group of a closed n-manifold from a contracted triangulation, *J. Combin. Inform. System Sci.* **4** (1979), 237–252.

[18] C. Gagliardi, Extending the concept of genus to dimension n, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **81** (1981), 473–481.

[19] C. Gagliardi and L. Grasselli, Representing products of polyhedra by products of edge-colored graphs, *Journal of Graph Theory* **17** (1993), 549–579.

[20] C. Gagliardi and G. Volzone, Handles in graphs and sphere bundles over S^1, *Europ. J. Combin.* **8** (1987), 151–158.

[21] M. Pezzana, Sulla struttura topologica delle varietà compatte, *Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena* **23** (1974), 269–277.

[22] F. Spaggiari, On the genus of $\mathbb{RP}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^1$, *Collect. Math.* **50 (3)** (1999), 229–241.